THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

IN THE MATTER OF THE FILING BY SUPERIOR RENEWABLE ENERGY, LLC ET AL. AGAINST MONTANA-DAKOTA UTILITIES CO. REGARDING THE JAVA WIND PROJECT

EL04-016

Transcript of Proceedings
December 28, 2004



BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION,
ROBERT SAHR, CHAIRMAN
GARY HANSON, VICE CHAIRMAN
JIM BURG, COMMISSIONER

COMMISSION STAFF

Rolayne Ailts Wiest
John Smith
Karen Cremer
Sara Harens
Greg Rislov
Harlan Best
Keith Senger
Dave Jacobson
Michele Farris
Tina Douglas
Heather Forney
Pam Bonrud

RECEIVED

JAN 1 0 2005

SOUTH DAKOTA PUBLIC LITILITIES COMMISSION

Reported By Cheri McComsey Wittler, RPR



1	APPEARANCES BY TELEPHONE
2	Bill Heaston Brad Moody
3	Suzan Stewart Denny Law
4	Colleen Sevold Talbot Wieczsorek
5	Dana Palmer Mark Ayotte
6	Faye Jandreau Doug Eidahl
7	Marcia Huber Dan Daly
8	Bruce Biewald Jerry Reisenauer
9	Tom Welk Tim Goodwin
10	
11	
12	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the
13	above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State
14	Capitol, Room 412, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre,
15	South Dakota, on the 28th day of December 2004,
16	commencing at 9:30 a.m.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

matter of the filing of Superior Renewable Energy,

LLC et al. against Montana-Dakota Utilities Company

CHAIRMAN SAHR: EL04-016, In the

regarding the Java Wind Project.

And the question today is shall the Commission authorize the Executive Director to enter into a consulting contract with Synopsis Energy Economics, Inc.?

Ms. Harens.

MS. HARENS: Thank you,

Chairman Sahr. As the Commission is well-aware of this Docket that is before them, this Docket involves a question of first impression that has never come before the Commission before, and that is dealing with the issues of avoided costs.

Staff is requesting that we be able to hire a consultant to help us with this avoided cost study. We did research. We made initial contacts. We conducted telephone interviews, and ultimately we decided that Synapse is the best fit for us and for this Commission to help us with this Docket.

As for -- my memo pretty much lays out all of the process that we went through. It includes the proposal from Synapse and also the contract that we are willing to enter into with Synapse, and we

would request permission from you to do that. 1 Bruce Biewald is from Synapse. He's on the 2 line right now in case you have any questions of 3 him. And if you have any questions of staff or me 4 at this time, I would take them as well. 5 CHAIRMAN SAHR: Thank you. And I 6 7 appreciate the detailed memo. That was very useful. 8 Any question from the Commissioners or 9 advisers? 10 COMMISSIONER BURG: I probably have 11 a general question. Will that cost come out of 12 just normal PUC? Have we determined that yet, the 13 payment, or will there be an assessment on that? 14 MS. HARENS: The Commission hasn't 15 made a determination as to any assessments at this 16 time. However, I think that will be imminent after 17 this decision is made as to this consulting 18 contract. 19 CHAIRMAN SAHR: Thank you. Any 20 other questions? 21 Seeing none, I will move that we authorize the 22 Executive Director to enter into a consulting 23 contract with Synapse Energy Economics, Inc. 24 VICE CHAIR HANSON: Second. 25

1	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA)
2	:SS CERTIFICATE
3	COUNTY OF HUGHES)
4	
5	I, CHERI MCCOMSEY WITTLER, a Registered
6	Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the
7	State of South Dakota:
8	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that as the duly-appointed
9	shorthand reporter, I took in shorthand the proceedings
10	had in the above-entitled matter on the 28th day of
11	December 2004, and that the attached is a true and
12	correct transcription of the proceedings so taken.
13	Dated at Pierre, South Dakota this 10th day
14	of January 2005.
15	
16	
17	Chim. Com
18	Cheri McComsey Wittler,
19	Notary Public and Registered Professional Reporter
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

25

Word Index			
1	Case	[2] 3:6 4:23	Impression
1	[1] 4:3	Docket	[1] 3:13
10th	CERTIFICATE	[3] 3:12 3:12 3:21	Inc
[1] 6:13	[1] 6:2	Doug	[2] 3:8 4:24
	CERTIFY	[1] 2:6 Douglas	Includes
2	[1] 6:8	[1] 1:18	[1] 3:23 Initial
2004	CHAIR [1] 4:25	Duly-appointed	[1] 3:18
[3] 1:8 2:15 6:11	Chairman	[1] 6:8	Interviews
2005	[6] 1:11 1:11 3:1 3:11 4:6 4:20		[1] 3:19
[1] 6:14	Cheri	${f E}$	Involves
2 8	[3] 1:24 6:5 6:18		[1] 3:13
[1] 1:8	CO	East [1] 2:14	Issues
28th	[1] 1:5	[1] 2:14 Economics	[1] 3:15
[2] 2:15 6:10	Colleen	[2] 3:7 4:24	
4	[1] 2:4	[2] 3:7 4:24 Eidahl	\mathbf{J}
4	Commencing	[1] 2:6	Jacobson
4 1 2	[1] 2:16	EL04-016	[1] 1:17
[1] 2:14	Commission	[2] 1:5 3:1	Jandreau
	[8] 1:1 1:10 1:13 3:5 3:11 3:14 3:21 4:	Energy	[1] 2:6
5	15	[4] 1:4 3:2 3:7 4:24	January
500	COMMISSIONER	Enter	[1] 6:14
[1] 2:14	[3] 1:12 4:11 5:1	[3] 3:6 3:25 4:23	Java
	Commissioners	Et	[2] 1:5 3:4
9	[1] 4:9	[2] 1:5 3:3	Jerry
	Company	Executive	[1] 2:8
9:30	[1] 3:3	[2] 3:6 4:23	JÍM
[1] 2:16	Concur	***	[1] 1:12
\mathbf{A}	[1] 5:1	\mathbf{F}	John
	Conducted [1] 3:19	Farris	[1] 1:14
A.m.	Consultant	[1] 1:17	K
[1] 2:16	[1] 3:17	Faye	
Able	Consulting	[1] 2:6	Karen
[1] 3:16 Above-entitled	[3] 3:7 4:18 4:23	Filing	[1] 1:14
[2] 2:13 6:10	Contacts	[2] 1:4 3:2	Keith
Advisers	[1] 3:18	First	[1] 1:16
[1] 4:10	Contract	[1] 3:13	\mathbf{L}
Ailts	[4] 3:7 3:24 4:19 4:24	Fit [1] 3:20	
[1] 1:13	Correct	Forney	Law
Al	[1] 6:12 Cost	[1] 1:18	[1] 2:3 Lays
[2] 1:5 3:3	[2] 3:17 4:12		[1] 3:22
APPEARANCES	Costs	G	Line
[1] 2:1 Appreciate	[1] 3:15	GARY	[1] 4:3
[1] 4:7	COUNTY	[1] 1:11	LLC
Assessment	[1] 6:3	General	[2] 1:4 3:3
[1] 4:14	Cremer	[1] 4:12	M
Assessments	[1] 1:14	Goodwin	1V1
[1] 4:16	7	[1] 2:9	Marcia
Attached	D	Greg	[1] 2:7
[1] 6:11	Dakota	[1] 1:15	Mark
Authorize	[6] 1:2 2:13 2:15 6:1 6:7 6:13	Н	[1] 2:5
[2] 3:6 4:22	Daly		Matter
Avenue	[1] 2:7	HANSON	[4] 1:4 2:13 3:2 6:10
[1] 2:14	Dan	[2] 1:11 4:25	McComsey [3] 1:24 6:5 6:18
Avoided [2] 3:15 3:17	[1] 2:7	Harens	Memo
Ayotte	Dana	[4] 1:15 3:9 3:10 4:15	[2] 3:22 4:7
[1] 2:5	[1] 2:5	Harlan	Michele
	Dated	[1] 1:16	[1] 1:17
В	[1] 6:13	Heaston	Montana-Dakota
	Dave [1] 1:17	[1] 2:2 Heather	[2] 1:5 3:3
Best [2] 1:16 3:20	Dealing	Heather [1] 1:18	Moody
Biewald	[1] 3:15	Held	[1] 2:2
[2] 2:8 4:2	December	[1] 2:12	Move
Bill	[3] 1:8 2:15 6:11	Help	[1] 4:22
[1] 2:2	Decided	[2] 3:17 3:21	N
Bonrud	[1] 3:20	HEREBY	
[1] 1:19	Decision	[1] 6:8	Never
Brad	[1] 4:18	Hire	[1] 3:14
[1] 2:2	Denny	[1] 3:16	None
Bruce	[1] 2:3	Huber	[1] 4:22
[2] 2:8 4:2	Detailed [1] 4:7	[1] 2:7 HUGHES	Normal [1] 4:13
BURG [3] 1:12 4:11 5:1	Determination	[1] 6:3	Notary
			$-\frac{100019}{[2]6:66:18}$
\mathbf{C}	Determined	I	
Capitol	[1] 4:13	Imminent	P
[2] 2:14 2:14	Director	[1] 4:17	Palmer
	•	1 - 2	

Word Index				
[1] 2:5 Pam	[6] 1:2 2:13 2:15 6:1 6:7 6:13			
[1] 1:19	SS [1] 6:2			
Payment [1] 4:14	Staff			
Permission	[3] 1:13 3:16 4:4			
[1] 4:1 Pierre	State [4] 1:2 2:13 6:1 6:7			
[2] 2:14 6:13	Stewart			
Pretty [1] 3:22	[1] 2:3			
Proceedings [4] 1:8 2:12 6:9 6:12	Study [1] 3:17			
Process	Superior			
[1] 3:23 Professional	[2] 1:4 3:2 Suzan			
[2] 6:6 6:19	[1] 2:3			
Project [2] 1:6 3:4	Synapse			
Proposal	[5] 3:20 3:24 3:25 4:2 4:24			
[1] 3:24 Public	Synopsis [1] 3:7			
[4] 1:1 1:10 6:6 6:18				
PUC [1] 4:13	T			
Q	Talbot [1] 2:4			
Questions	Telephone			
[3] 4:3 4:4 4:21	[2] 2:1 3:19			
R	Tim [1] 2:9			
Regarding	Tina			
[2] 1:5 3:4 Registered	[1] 1:18			
[2] 6:5 6:19	Today [1] 3:5			
Reisenauer [1] 2:8	Tom			
Renewable	[1] 2:9			
[2] 1:4 3:2 Reported	Took [1] 6:9			
[1] 1:24	Transcript			
Reporter [3] 6:6 6:9 6:19	[2] 1:8 2:12			
Request	Transcription [1] 6:12			
[1] 4:1 Requesting	True			
[1] 3:16	[1] 6:11			
Research [1] 3:18	${f U}$			
Rislov [1] 1:15	Ultimately			
ROBERT	[1] 3:19			
[1] 1:11 Rolayne	Useful [1] 4:8			
[1] 1:13	Utilities			
Room [1] 2:14	[4] 1:1 1:5 1:10 3:3			
RPR	V			
[1] 1:24	VICE			
S	[2] 1:11 4:25			
Sahr [5] 1:11 3:1 3:11 4:6 4:20	W			
Sara [1] 1:15	Welk			
Second	[1] 2:9			
[1] 4:25 Seeing	Well-aware [1] 3:11			
[1] 4:22	Wieczsorek			
Senger [1] 1:16	[1] 2:4			
Sevold	Wiest [1] 1:13			
[1] 2:4 Shall	Willing			
[1] 3:5 Shorthand	[1] 3:25			
[2] 6:9 6:9	Wind [2] 1:6 3:4			
Smith [1] 1:14	Wittler			
South	[3] 1:24 6:5 6:18			
PRECISION REPORTING, LTD.				